1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	STATE OF WASHINGTON, et al.,	CASE NO. C17-0141JLR
11	Plaintiffs,	ORDER DENYING MOTION FOR RECONSIDERATION
12	V.	TOR RECONSIDERITION
13	DONALD J. TRUMP, et al.,	
14	Defendants.	
15	Before the court is non-party Rick Satcher's motion. (Mot. (Dkt. # 212).) Mr.	
16	Satcher is appearing <i>pro se</i> , and the court liberally construes his motion as a motion for	
17	reconsideration of the court's order denying him both intervention as of right and	
18	permissive intervention in this lawsuit. (See Order (Dkt. # 174).) Having considered the	
19	motion, the relevant portions of the record, and the applicable law, the court DENIES Mr.	
20	Satcher's motion for reconsideration.	
21		
22	¹ The court liberally construes the pleadings of <i>pro se</i> litigants. <i>See Brazil v. U.S. Dep't of Navy</i> , 66 F.3d 193, 199 (9th Cir. 1995).	

1 Pursuant to the Western District of Washington's Local Civil Rules, a "motion 2 [for reconsideration] shall be filed within fourteen days after the order to which it relates is filed." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(2). The court filed its order denying Mr. 3 4 Satcher's motion to intervene on March 30, 2017. (See Order.) Accordingly, Mr. 5 Satcher's motion for reconsideration is untimely, and the court DENIES it on this ground. 6 See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 7(h)(2) ("Failure to comply with this subsection may 7 be grounds for denial of the motion."). 8 In addition, "[m]otions for reconsideration are disfavored," and the court "will 9 ordinarily deny such motions in the absence of a showing of manifest error in the prior 10 ruling or a showing of new facts or legal authority which could not have been brought to 11 its attention earlier with reasonable diligence." *Id.*, LCR 7(h)(1). Mr. Satcher makes no 12 such showing. (See generally Mot.) The court concludes, therefore, that—in addition to 13 being untimely—Mr. Satcher's motion lacks merits. Thus, the court DENIES Mr. 14 Satcher's motion for reconsideration on this substantive ground as well (Dkt. # 212). 15 Dated this 26th day of February, 2018. 16 . R. Rl 17 JAMES L. ROBART 18 United States District Judge 19 20 21 22